arXiv:1504.01704v1 [math.NT] 5 Apr 2015

NUMBERS WITH COUNTABLE EXPANSIONS IN BASE OF GENERALIZED GOLDEN RATIOS

YUEHUA GE & BO TAN[†]

Abstract. Sidorov and Vershik showed that in base $G = \frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}$ and with the digits $0, 1$ the numbers $x = nG \pmod 1$ have $\aleph_0$ expansions for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, while the other elements of $(0, \frac{1}{G-1})$ have $2^{\aleph_0}$ expansions. In this paper, we generalize this result to the generalized golden ratio base $\beta = \mathcal{G}(m)$. With the digit-set $\{0, 1, \cdots, m\}$, if $m = 2k+1$, $\mathcal{G}(m) = \frac{k+1+\sqrt{k^2+6k+5}}{2}$, the numbers $x = \frac{p\beta+q}{(k+1)^n} \in (0, \frac{m}{\beta-1})$ (where $n, p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$) have $\aleph_0$ expansions, while the other elements of $(0, \frac{m}{\beta-1})$ have $2^{\aleph_0}$ expansions; if $m = 2k$, $\mathcal{G}(m) = k+1$, the numbers with countably many expansions are $\frac{p}{(k+1)^n} \in (0, 2)$ ($n, p \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$). This solves an open question by Baker.

1. INTRODUCTION

The $\beta-$expansion extends the representation of real numbers from the integer base (e.g. the familiar decimal or binary expansions) to the non-integer base. It was introduced by Rényi [19], and was developed by Parry [18]. Since then this kind of expansion was extensively studied from various viewpoints, and these studies have connections with many fields such as topology, symbolic dynamical system and combinatorics [3, 6, 10, 13, 17, 21].

Given a base and a digit-set, we consider all the possible expansions of the numbers (rather than the greedy expansion only). In this setting, some authors have studied that the set of real numbers which admit a unique expansion from various aspects such as the topological structure, the metric property, or the fractal dimension, see e.g. [4, 8, 11, 12, 14, 16, 15, 24, 25].

Let Ω be a finite set, called an alphabet or a digit-set. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we put

$$\Omega^n = \{x_1 x_2 \ldots x_n : x_i \in \Omega \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \ldots, n\},$$

and

$$\Omega^* = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \Omega^n,$$

here, we put, by convention, that $\Omega^0 = \{\emptyset\}$ with $\emptyset$ the empty word. We also set

$$\Omega^\infty = \{x_1 x_2 x_3 \ldots : x_i \in \Omega \text{ for } i \geq 1\}.$$

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}, \beta \in (1, m+1]$ and $I_{\beta,m} = [0, \frac{m}{\beta-1}]$. It is easy to see that each $x \in I_{\beta,m}$ has an expansion of the form

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_i}{\beta^i} \tag{1.1}$$

Key words and phrases. beta-expansions, countable expansions, generalized golden ratio.

[†] Corresponding author.

for some $(\varepsilon_i)_{i=1}^{\infty} \in \{0, 1, \ldots, m\}^{\infty}$, whence we say that the sequence $0.\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2\ldots$ is a β-expansion of x, or say that x is the value of $0.\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2\ldots$.

To simplify the notation, instead of the equation (1.1) we write

$$x = 0.\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2\ldots(\beta),$$

or for short

$$x = 0.\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2\ldots$$

if it causes no confusion. If an expansion ends by 0^{∞} (i.e. there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\varepsilon_k = 0$ for $k > n$), we call it a finite expansion; otherwise, we call it an infinite expansion. We always identify a finite expansion $0.\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2\ldots\varepsilon_n 00\ldots$ with $0.\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2\ldots\varepsilon_n$.

In this paper, the formula such as $0.\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2\ldots = 0.\eta_1\eta_2\ldots$ always means that the corresponding values are equal, while the formula such as $\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2\ldots = \eta_1\eta_2\ldots$ means the sequences are exactly the same, i.e. $\varepsilon_1 = \eta_1, \varepsilon_2 = \eta_2, \ldots$.

Given $x \in I_{\beta,m}$, the set of the expansions of x is denoted by

$$\mathcal{E}_{\beta,m}(x) = \{(\varepsilon_i)_{i=1}^{\infty} \in \{0, 1, \ldots, m\}^{\infty} : x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_i}{\beta^i}\}.$$

Let us remark that we do not impose any other restrictions on the digits ε_n than the equation (1.1), and thus it was believed that any number will have more than one expansions. In fact, it was proven that in the case that $\beta < m+1$, *a.e.* $x \in I_\beta$ has $2^{\aleph_0}$ such expansions, see [7, 21].

Erdős, Horváth and Joó [8] and Erdős, Joó and Komornik [9] showed that, with digits 0 or 1, when $1 < \beta < \frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}$ each interior point of I_β has a continuum of distinct expansions, and there exist infinitely many numbers $1 < \beta < 2$ for which the expansion of 1 is unique. Any endpoint of $[0, \frac{1}{\beta-1}]$ obviously has a unique expansion. Daroczy and Katai [5] showed that when $\beta \in (\frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}, 2]$ there exists $x \in (0, \frac{1}{\beta-1})$ such that the set $\mathcal{E}_{\beta,1}(x)$ is singleton. Sidorov [22] proved that, for $1 < \beta \leq 2$, the set of numbers $x \in I_\beta$ having less than a continuum of distinct expansions is

- the two-point set of the endpoints of I_β if $\beta < G$;
- countable infinite if $G \leq \beta < \beta_c$;
- a continuum of Hausdorff dimension 0 if $\beta = \beta_c$;
- a continuum of Hausdorff dimension strictly between 0 and 1 if $\beta_c < \beta < 2$;
- the complementer of a countable set in $[0, 1]$ if $\beta = 2$,

where $G = \frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}$ is the golden ratio, and $\beta_c \approx 1.787\ldots$ is the "Komornik-Loreti" constant, the smallest base under which the expansion of the number 1 is unique [12]. Moreover, β_c is transcendental [1]. Sidorov and Vershik [23] then studied the numbers with countable expansions under the base of golden ratio, and showed that for $\beta = G = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ the set $\mathcal{E}_{\beta,1}(x)$ is countable when $x = \beta n (\mathrm{mod}\ 1)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, while for others x in $(0, \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2})$ the set $\mathcal{E}_{\beta,1}(x)$ is uncountable.

Baker [3] generalized the results in [5, 9]. He defined a generalized golden ratio $\mathcal{G}(m)$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathcal{G}(m) = \begin{cases} k+1, & \text{if } m = 2k, \\ \frac{k+1+\sqrt{k^2+6k+5}}{2}, & \text{if } m = 2k+1, \end{cases}$$

and showed that for $\beta \in (1, \mathcal{G}(m))$, the set $\mathcal{E}_{\beta,m}(x)$ is uncountable for each $x \in (0, \frac{m}{\beta-1})$, and for $\beta \in (\mathcal{G}(m), m+1]$ there exist $x \in (0, \frac{m}{\beta-1})$ such that the set $\mathcal{E}_{\beta,m}(x)$ is singleton. Besides, in the same paper he posed the following open problem:

Does an analogue of this statement for numbers with exactly countably many expansions hold in the case of general $m \in \mathbb{N}$?

We consider this problem in this paper. We have the following main results.

Theorem 1.1. *Let* $m = 2k$ *be even,* $\beta = \mathcal{G}(m) = k+1$, *and let*

$$\mathcal{F} = \{\frac{p}{(k+1)^n} \in (0,2) : n, p \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\}.$$

The elements of $\mathcal{F}$ *have countably many expansions, while the other elements of* $(0,2)$ *have uncountably many expansions.*

Theorem 1.2. *Let* $m = 2k+1$ *be odd,* $\beta = \mathcal{G}(m) = \frac{k+1+\sqrt{k^2+6k+5}}{2}$, *and let*

$$\mathcal{S} = \{\frac{p\beta + q}{(k+1)^n} \in (0, \frac{m}{\beta-1}) : n, p, q \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

The elements of $\mathcal{S}$ *have countably many expansions, while the other elements of* $(0, \beta - k)$ *have uncountably many expansions.*

Following the same idea as the proof of Theorem 1.2, we may prove Theorem 1.1. So, in this paper, we will devote ourselves to the proof of Theorem 1.2. In the next section, some necessary preliminaries are presented, and Theorems are proven in the last section.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We consider the case that $m = 2k+1$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, whence the digits set $\Omega = \{0, 1, \cdots, 2k+1\}$, the generalized golden ration $\beta = \mathcal{G}(m) = \frac{k+1+\sqrt{k^2+6k+5}}{2}$, and $I_{\beta,m} = [0, \frac{m}{\beta-1}] = [0, \beta - k]$. Recall that β satisfies the algebraic equation

$$\frac{k+1}{\beta} + \frac{k+1}{\beta^2} = 1.$$

There are several digit sets need to be considered. We define the small-digit set to be $S = \{0, 1, \ldots, k\}$, and the big-digit set $B = \{k+1, k+2, \ldots, 2k+1\}$. Also we put $S^- = \{0, 1, \ldots, k-1\}$ and $S_- = \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ by removing the smallest element and the biggest one from S respectively. In the same way, $B_- = \{k+2, \ldots, 2k+1\}$ and $B^- = \{k+1, \ldots, 2k\}$.

2.1. **Sequence F_n.**

In this subsection, we define a sequence F_n which has some properties relating with β.

Lemma 2.1. *Define* $\{F_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ *to be the integer sequence satisfying*

$$F_{n+1} = (k+1)(F_n + F_{n-1}) \quad (n \geq 2)$$

with $F_1 = 1, F_2 = k+1$. *Then for any integer* $n \geq 0$, *there exists a finite sequence* $\{n_i\}_{i=1}^{l} \in \{0, 1, \ldots, (k+1)\}^*$ *such that*

$$n = \sum_{i=1}^{l} n_i F_i, \tag{2.1}$$

where $l = l(n)$ *is dependent of* n.

We remark that the expansion (2.1) of n is by no means unique. While we can require that $l(n) < l$ if $n < F_l$.

Proof. The case $n = 0$ or $n = 1$ is trivial. Now by induction, we assume that the conclusion holds for $0 \leq n < F_m$.

Noticing the fact that $F_{m+1} < (k+2)F_m$ and

$$[F_m, (k+2)F_m) = \bigcup_{j=0}^{k+1} [jF_m, (j+1)F_m).$$

When $F_m \leq n < F_{m+1}$, we have that $n \in [jF_m, (j+1)F_m)$ for some $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, k+1\}$, and $0 \leq n - jF_m < F_m$. By the hypothesis of induction,

$$n - jF_m = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \widetilde{n_i} F_i,$$

hence, we take $n_m = j$ together with $n_i = \widetilde{n_i}$ for $i < m$ to obtain an expansion of n.

$\square$

Lemma 2.2. *For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $F_n\beta = F_{n+1} - (-\frac{k+1}{\beta})^n$.*

Proof. Since

$$F_{n+1} = (k+1)(F_n + F_{n-1})$$

and

$$(-\frac{k+1}{\beta})^n = (k+1)(-\frac{k+1}{\beta})^{n-1} + (k+1)(-\frac{k+1}{\beta})^{n-2},$$

the conclusion follows by induction. $\square$

2.2. **Properties on the β-expansion.**

Lemma 2.3. *The number 1 has countably many expansions under the base β.*

Proof. Recalling the fact that $1.00 = 0.(k+1)(k+1)$, we have $0.(k+2) = 1.00(k+1)$ and $0.(2k+1)(2k+1)\dots = 1.(k+1)$.

Let $0.\delta_1\delta_2 \dots \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2k+1\}^\infty$ be an expansion of 1. We consider four cases according as the value of the the first digit δ_1.

Case 1. $\delta_1 \in S^-$.

Since $0.\delta_2\delta_3 \dots \leq 0.(2k+1)(2k+1)(2k+1)\dots = 0.1(k+1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0.\delta_1\delta_2 \dots &\leq 0.\delta_1(2k+1)(2k+1)(2k+1)\dots \\ &= 0.(\delta_1+1)(k+1) \\ &< 0.(k+1)(k+1) = 1.00. \end{aligned}$$

This case is impossible.

Case 2. $\delta_1 = k$.

We know that $0.(2k+1)(2k+1)\dots = 1.(k+1)$, then $0.\delta_1\delta_2 \dots = 0.(k+1)(k+1)$. Thus in this case the only possibility is that $0.\delta_1\delta_2 \dots = 0.k(2k+1)(2k+1)\dots$.

Case 3. $\delta_1 = k+1$.

- $\delta_1 = k+1, \delta_2 \in S^-$.

  Since

  $$\begin{aligned} 0.(k+1)\delta_2(2k+1)(2k+1)\ldots &= 0.(k+1)(\delta_2+1)(k+1) \\ &< 0.(k+1)(k+1). \end{aligned}$$

  Thus this subcase is impossible.
- $\delta_1 = k+1, \delta_2 = k$.

  In this subcase, we readily check that $0.\delta_3\delta_4\ldots$ is again an expansion of 1.
- $\delta_1 = k+1, \delta_2 = k+1$.

  Clearly, in this subcase the only possibility is that $1 = 0.(k+1)(k+1)000\ldots$.
- $\delta_1 = k+1, \delta_2 \in B_-$.

  Since $0.(k+1)\delta_2 > 0.(k+1)(k+1) = 1$, it is impossible.

Case 4. $\delta_1 \in B_-$.

Since $0.(k+2) = 1.00(k+1) > 1$, it is impossible.

In conclusion, the expansion of 1 takes one of the forms:

(1) $1 = 0.k(2k+1)(2k+1)(2k+1)\ldots$
(2) $1 = 0.(k+1)(k+1)$
(3) $1 = 0.(k+1)k\delta_3\delta_4\ldots$ with $0.\delta_3\delta_4\ldots$again an expansion of 1.

By an easy induction(on the number of the block $((k+1)k)'$s occurring in the beginning of the expansion), we then obtain all of the expansion of 1 as follows

- $0.((k+1)k)^n k(2k+1)(2k+1)(2k+1)\ldots$
- $0.((k+1)k)^n(k+1)(k+1)$
- $0.((k+1)k)^\infty$, i.e. $0.(k+1)k(k+1)k(k+1)k\ldots$.

□

In the following, we study the "carry" and "borrow" of the expansion in the light of the formula $1.00 = 0.(k+1)(k+1)$.

First we introduce a notation "index" $\text{Ind}^+(x)$ for a sequence $x = 0.x_1x_2\ldots x_n\ldots \in \{0,1,\ldots,2k+1\}^\infty$ as follows: for $i \geq 1$,

$$\text{Ind}^+(x) = \begin{cases} 2i-1, & \text{if } x_{2j-1} \in S, x_{2j} \in B \text{ for } j = 1,2,\ldots,i-1 \text{ and } x_{2i-1} \in B; \\ 2i, & \text{if } x_{2j-1} \in S, x_{2j} \in B \text{ for } j = 1,2,\ldots,i-1 \text{ and } x_{2i-1}, x_{2i} \in S; \\ \infty, & \text{if } x_{2j-1} \in S, x_{2j} \in B \text{ for } j = 1,2,3,\ldots. \end{cases}$$

Then for any sequence $0.bx_1x_2x_3\ldots$ with $b \in B_- = \{k+2,\ldots,2k+1\}$, we can define the "carry" map T^+ according as the value of the $\text{Ind}^+(x)$ as follows:

- If $\text{Ind}^+(0.x_1x_2x_3\ldots)$=1, then

  $$T^+(0.bx_1x_2x_3\ldots) = 1.(b-k-1)(x_1-k-1)x_2x_3\ldots.$$
- If $\text{Ind}^+(0.x_1x_2x_3\ldots)$=$2i-1$ for any $i \geq 2$, then

  $$\begin{aligned} &T^+(0.bx_1x_2x_3\ldots) \\ =\ &1.(b-k-2)(x_1+1)(x_2-1)\ldots(x_{2i-1}+1)x_{2i-2}(x_{2i-1}-k-1)x_{2i}x_{2i+1}\ldots. \end{aligned}$$
- If $\text{Ind}^+(0.x_1x_2x_3\ldots)$=$2i$ for any $i \geq 1$, then

  $$\begin{aligned} &T^+(0.bx_1x_2x_3\ldots) \\ =\ &1.(b-k-2)(x_1+1)(x_2-1)\ldots x_{2i-1}(x_{2i}+k+1)x_{2i+1}x_{2i+2}\ldots. \end{aligned}$$

- If $\mathrm{Ind}^+(0.x_1x_2x_3\ldots)$=$\infty$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & T^+(0.bx_1x_2x_3\ldots) \\ = \quad & 1.(b-k-2)(x_1+1)(x_2-1)(x_3+1)(x_4-1)\ldots. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, for any $k \in \{1,2,\cdots\} \cup \{\infty\}$ the restriction of the map T^+ to the sequences $0.bx_1x_2x_3\ldots$ with $b \in B_-$ and $\mathrm{Ind}^+(0.x_1x_2x_3\ldots) = k$ is injective.

In a dual way, we define the $\mathrm{Ind}^-(x)$: for $i \geq 1$

$$\mathrm{Ind}^-(x) = \begin{cases} 2i-1, & \text{if } x_{2j-1} \in B, x_{2j} \in S \text{ for } j = 1,2,\ldots,i-1 \text{ and } x_{2i-1} \in S; \\ 2i, & \text{if } x_{2j-1} \in B, x_{2j} \in S \text{ for } j = 1,2,\ldots,i-1 \text{ and } x_{2i-1}, x_{2i} \in B; \\ \infty, & \text{if } x_{2j-1} \in B, x_{2j} \in S \text{ for } j = 1,2,\ldots. \end{cases}$$

Then for any sequence $1.ax_1x_2x_3\ldots$ with $a \in S^- = \{0,\ldots,k-1\}$, we define the "borrow" map T^+ as follows:

- If $\mathrm{Ind}^-(0.x_1x_2x_3\ldots)$=1, then

$$T^-(1.ax_1x_2x_3\ldots) = 0.(a+k+1)(x_1+k+1)x_2x_3\ldots.$$

- If $\mathrm{Ind}^-(0.x_1x_2x_3\ldots)$=$2i-1$ for any $i \geq 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & T^-(1.ax_1x_2x_3\ldots) \\ = \quad & 0.(a+k+2)(x_1-1)(x_2+1)\ldots(x_{2i-3}-1)x_{2i-2}(x_{2i-1}+k+1)x_{2i}\ldots. \end{aligned}$$

- If $\mathrm{Ind}^-(0.x_1x_2x_3\ldots)$=$2i$ for any $i \geq 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & T^-(1.a(k+2)x_1x_2x_3\ldots) \\ = \quad & 0.(a+k+2)(x_1-1)(x_2+1)\ldots(x_{2i-2}+1)x_{2i-1}(x_{2i}-k-1)x_{2i+1}\ldots. \end{aligned}$$

- If $\mathrm{Ind}^-(0.x_1x_2x_3\ldots)$=$\infty$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & T^-(1.a(k+2)x_1x_2x_3\ldots) \\ = \quad & 0.(a+k+2)(x_1-1)(x_2+1)(x_3-1)(x_4+1)\ldots. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.4. *The set $\{0,1,\ldots,2k+1\}^*$ is closed under multiplication by β, more precisely, for any $x \in (0, \frac{\beta-k}{\beta})$ which has a finite expansion $x = 0.\varepsilon_1\ldots\varepsilon_n$, there exist $\eta_1\ldots\eta_m \in \{0,1,\ldots,2k+1\}^*$ such that $\beta x = 0.\eta_1\ldots\eta_m$.*

Proof. Let $x \in (0, \frac{\beta-k}{\beta})$ be a number with a finite expansion, and $0.\varepsilon_1\ldots\varepsilon_n$ be an expansion of x. Then $0.\varepsilon_1\ldots\varepsilon_n < 0.1(k+1)$ since $\frac{\beta-k}{\beta} = 0.1(k+1)$.

We need to find a finite expansion for βx. We consider three cases according as the value of the first two digits $\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2$.

Case 1. $\varepsilon_1 = 0$.

In this case, it is clearly that $\beta x = 0.\varepsilon_2\ldots\varepsilon_n$.

Case 2. $\varepsilon_1 = 1, \varepsilon_2 \in S^-$.

Recall that $0.(2k+1)(2k+1)(2k+1)\ldots = 1.(k+1)$. In this case, the digits can take any value in $\{0,1,2,\ldots,2k+1\}$, since

$$0.1\varepsilon_2(2k+1)(2k+1)\ldots(2k+1) < 0.1(k+1).$$

Putting $\mathrm{Ind}^-(\varepsilon_3\ldots\varepsilon_n)$=$s$, we have that

- If $s = 1$, then

$$\beta x = 0.(\varepsilon_2+k+1)(\varepsilon_3+k+1)\varepsilon_4\varepsilon_5\ldots\varepsilon_n;$$

- If $s = 2i - 1$ for some $i \geq 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta x \\ = \;& 0.(\varepsilon_2 + k + 2)(\varepsilon_3 - 1)(\varepsilon_4 + 1) \dots (\varepsilon_{2i-1} - 1)\varepsilon_{2i}(\varepsilon_{2i+1} + k + 1)\varepsilon_{2i+2} \dots \varepsilon_n; \end{aligned}$$

- If $s = 2i$ for some $i \geq 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta x \\ = \;& 0.(\varepsilon_2 + k + 2)(\varepsilon_3 - 1)(\varepsilon_4 + 1) \dots (\varepsilon_{2i} + 1)\varepsilon_{2i+1}(\varepsilon_{2i+2} - k - 1)\varepsilon_{2i+3} \dots \varepsilon_n. \end{aligned}$$

Case 3. $\varepsilon_1 = 1, \varepsilon_2 = k$.

In this case, since $0.1k\varepsilon_3\varepsilon_4 \dots < 0.1(k + 1)$, we have the constraint that

$$0.\varepsilon_3\varepsilon_4 \dots < 1.$$

Since $1 = 0.(k + 1)k(k + 1)k(k + 1)k \dots$, the expansion of x is of one of the following forms:

(1) $x = 0.1k((k + 1)k)^p a\varepsilon_{2p+4} \dots \varepsilon_n$ for $a \in S$,
(2) $x = 0.1k((k + 1)k)^p(k + 1)b\varepsilon_{2p+5} \dots \varepsilon_n$ for $b \in S^-$,

where $p \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

In the first subcase, we have

$$\beta x = 1.k((k + 1)k)^p a\varepsilon_{2p+4} \dots \varepsilon_n.$$

By $1.00 = 0.(k + 1)(k + 1)$, it follows that

$$\beta x = 0.(2k + 1) \dots (2k + 1)(a + k + 1)\varepsilon_{2p+4} \dots \varepsilon_n,$$

which is in $\{0, 1, \dots, 2k + 1\}^*$.

In the second subcase, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta x \\ = \;& 1.k((k + 1)k)^p(k + 1)b\varepsilon_{2p+5} \dots \varepsilon_n \\ = \;& 0.(2k + 1) \dots (2k + 1)(2k + 2)b\varepsilon_{2p+5} \dots \varepsilon_n. \end{aligned}$$

Writing $\varepsilon_{2p+5}\varepsilon_{2p+6} \dots \varepsilon_n = x_1x_2 \dots x_q$ and $\mathrm{Ind}^-(x_1x_2 \dots x_q)=s$, we have that

- If $s = 1$, then

$$\beta x = 0.(2k + 1) \dots (2k + 1)(2k + 1)(b + k + 1)(x_1 + k + 1)x_2 \dots x_q;$$

- If $s = 2i - 1$ for some $i \geq 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta x \\ = \;& 0.(2k + 1) \dots (2k + 1)(b + k + 2)(x_1 - 1)(x_2 + 1) \dots (x_{2i-3} - 1)x_{2i-2} \\ & (x_{2i-1} + k + 1)x_{2i} \dots x_q; \end{aligned}$$

- If $s = 2i$ for some $i \geq 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta x \\ = \;& 0.(2k + 1) \dots (2k + 1)(b + k + 2)(x_1 - 1)(x_2 + 1) \dots (x_{2i-2} + 1)x_{2i-1} \\ & (x_{2i} - k - 1)x_{2i+1} \dots x_q. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Let $x = 0.\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_n \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2k+1\}^*$. If the condition that $\varepsilon_i \in S$ for $1 \le i \le n-1$ implies that $\varepsilon_{i+1} \in B$, which means the digit $k+1, k+2, \dots,$ or $2k+1$ is always separated by the digit 0, 1,..., or k, we say that this sequence $0.\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_n$ is B-separated.

For $x = 0.\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n$, we define

$$l = \min\left\{1 \le i \le n-1 : \varepsilon_i\varepsilon_{i+1} \in B^2\right\}$$

with the convention that $\min \emptyset = \infty$. It is easy to see that $l = \infty$ when and only when the sequence $0.\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n$ is B-separated.

We then define an operator C_r as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & C_r(0.\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n) \\ = & \begin{cases} 0.\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_{l-2}(\varepsilon_{l-1}+1)(\varepsilon_l - (k+1))(\varepsilon_{l+1} - (k+1))\varepsilon_{l+2} \dots \varepsilon_n, & \text{if } l < \infty; \\ 0.\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n, & \text{if } l = \infty. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that the operator C_r preserves the value. On the other hand when $l < \infty$, the operator C_r reduces by $2k+1$ the summation of all the digits in the expansion. Thus there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$C_r^{k+1}(0.\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n) = C_r^k(0.\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n).$$

Whence the sequence $C_r^k(0.\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n)$ is B-separated, and then we define the map T as

$$T(0.\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n) = C_r^k(0.\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n),$$

for such k.

If $\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2 \in B^2$, then $0.\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2 = 1.(\varepsilon_1 - k - 1)(\varepsilon_2 - k - 1)$. Denote $T(0.\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n) = \eta_0.\eta_1 \dots \eta_n$, where $\eta_0 \in \{0, 1\}$ and $\eta_i \in \{0, \dots, 2k+1\}$ for $1 \le i \le n$. Clearly, the map T satisfies the following properties:

- If $\eta_i \in B_-$ for some i, then $\varepsilon_i = \eta_i$. In other words, there is no new occurrence of the digit $\varepsilon \in B_-$ in the process, and thus we have

  $$|\eta_0.\eta_1 \dots \eta_n|_\varepsilon \le |0.\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n|_\varepsilon,$$

  where $|\cdot|_\varepsilon$ denotes the total number of occurrences of the digit ε in the sequence.
- The sequence $\eta_0.\eta_1 \dots \eta_n$, or equivalently, the sequence $0.\eta_1 \dots \eta_n$ is B-separated.

Lemma 2.5. *For any* $0.\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_n \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2k+1\}^*$, *there exists* $\widetilde{\varepsilon_0}.\widetilde{\varepsilon_1} \dots \widetilde{\varepsilon_m}$ *such that* $\widetilde{\varepsilon_0}.\widetilde{\varepsilon_1} \dots \widetilde{\varepsilon_m} = 0.\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_n$, *where* $\widetilde{\varepsilon_0} \in \{0, 1\}$ *and* $\widetilde{\varepsilon_i} \in \{0, 1, \dots, k+1\}$ *for* $i = 1, \dots, m$.

Proof. Applying the map T on the expansion $0.\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_n$ if necessary, we can suppose without loss of generality that $0.\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_n$ is B-separated. Our aim is to eliminate all the digits $k+2, \dots, 2k+1$ from the expansion.

Now we want to eliminate the digit $2k+1$ in the first step. We regroup the expansion $0.\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_n$ according as the position of the last $2k+1$ as follows:

$$0.\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_n = 0.\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_m(2k+1)\delta_1 \dots \delta_p, \tag{2.2}$$

where $m + k + 1 = n$ and $\delta = \delta_1 \dots \delta_p \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2k\}^*$. Moreover, we have that $\varepsilon_m, \delta_1 \in S$ from the B-separation property.

We claim that we can eliminate the last occurrence of the digit $2k+1$ from the expansion without change of the value. Meanwhile, in the process there are no new occurrences of $2k+1$ in the resulted expansion. We will show the claim by induction on the length of δ.

When $\delta = \emptyset$, that is, $m+1 = n$, recalling the fact that $0.(2k+1) = 1.(k-1)0(k+1)$, we have

$$0.\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_n = 0.\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_{n-2}(\varepsilon_{n-1}+1)(k-1)0(k+1).$$

When the length of δ is 1, we have

$$0.\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_n = 0.\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_{m-1}(\varepsilon_m+1)(k-1)\delta_1(k+2).$$

Now by induction, we assume that the conclusion holds for the expansion with the length of δ less than p. When the length of δ is p, according to the value of the digit δ_2, we consider the following three cases:

Case 1. $\delta_2 \in S^-$.

In this case, by $0.(k+2) = 1.00(k+1)$, we have

$$0.\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_n = 0.\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_{m-1}(\varepsilon_m+1)(k-1)\delta_1(\delta_2+k+1)\delta_3 \dots \delta_p.$$

Thus the conclusion follows.

Case 2. $\delta_2 = k$.

By $0.(k+2) = 1.00(k+1)$, we have

$$0.\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_n = 0.\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_{m-1}(\varepsilon_m+1)(k-1)\delta_1(2k+1)\delta_3 \dots \delta_p.$$

Applying the map T on the above expansion, we have the conclusion by the hypothesis of induction.

Case 3. $\delta_2 \in B^-$.

Recalling the fact that the sequence $0.\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_n$ is B-separated, the block δ can be regrouped as

$$\delta_1 a_1 \delta_3 a_2 \delta_5 a_2 \delta_7 \dots a_t \delta_{2t+1}\delta_{2t+2} \dots \delta_p, \tag{2.3}$$

where $a_j \in B^-$ for $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$, and when $p \geq 2t+2$, $\delta_{2t+2} \in S$.

By $0.(k+2) = 1.00(k+1)$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & 0.(2k+1)\delta \\ = \ & 1.(k-1)(\delta_1+1)(a_1-1)\dots(a_t-1)\delta_{2t+1}(\delta_{2t+2}+k+1)\delta_{2t+3}\dots\delta_p. \end{aligned}$$

All the digits in the latter expansion are in $\{0, 1, \dots, 2k\}$ expect at most that $\delta_{2t+2}+k+1 \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2k+1\}$. If $\delta_{2t+2}+k+1 < 2k+1$, we are done; otherwise we apply the map T, and then use the induction hypothesis.

The claim then follows.

Up to now, we have already eliminate the last digit $2k+1$ in the expansion without new occurrence of $2k+1$, and then we continue this process to eliminate all the digits $2k+1$ from the expansion.

Using the same argument, we eliminate the other digit in $\{k+2, \dots, 2k\}$.

□

From the compactness of the symbol space $\{0, 1, \dots, k+1\}^\infty$,we remark that any infinite sequence in $\{0, 1, \dots, 2k+1\}^\infty$ has the property as in Lemma 2.5, too.

Remark 2.6. *For any* $0.\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2\ldots \in \{0,1,\ldots,2k+1\}^\infty$*, there exists* $\widetilde{\varepsilon_0}.\widetilde{\varepsilon_1}\widetilde{\varepsilon_2}\ldots$ *such that*

$$0.\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2\ldots = \widetilde{\varepsilon_0}.\widetilde{\varepsilon_1}\widetilde{\varepsilon_2}\ldots,$$

where $\widetilde{\varepsilon_i} \in \{0,1,\ldots,k+1\}$ *for* $i \geq 1$ *and* $\widetilde{\varepsilon_0} \in \{0,1\}$.

Lemma 2.7. *The set* $\{0,1,\ldots,2k+1\}^*$ *is closed under addition, more precisely, for any two finite expansions* ξ, η *in* $\{0,1,\ldots,2k+1\}^*$*, there exists a sequence* $\delta_0.\delta_1\delta_2\ldots\delta_s$ *such that*

$$\xi+\eta = \delta_0.\delta_1\delta_2\ldots\delta_s,$$

where $0.\delta_1\delta_2\ldots\delta_s$ *is in* $\{0,1,\ldots,2k+1\}^*$.

Proof. Adding 0's at the end of the expansion if necessary, we cam assume without loss of generality that the length of ξ and η are equal.

By Lemma 2.5, we can suppose without loss of generality that $x = \xi_0.\xi_1\ldots\xi_n$, $y = \eta_0.\eta_1\ldots\eta_n$, where $0.\xi_1\ldots\xi_n$ and $0.\eta_1\ldots\eta_n$ are in $\{0,1,\ldots,k+1\}^*$. Then

$$\xi+\eta = z_0.z_1z_2\ldots z_n,$$

where $z_j = \xi_j+\eta_j \in \{0,1,2,\ldots,2k+2\}$ for $j = 0,1,2,3,\ldots,n$.

Now we define two new sequences as follows. For any $j \in \{1,2,\ldots,n\}$, define

$$x_j = \begin{cases} 2k+1, & \text{if } z_j = 2k+2; \\ z_j, & \text{others .} \end{cases} \quad \text{and } y_j = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } z_j = 2k+2; \\ 0, & \text{others .} \end{cases}$$

Obviously, we have $z_j = x_j + y_j$ for $j = 1,2,3,\ldots,n$ and $0.y_1\ldots y_n \in \{0,1\}^*$, $0.x_1\ldots x_n \in \{0,1,\ldots,2k+1\}^*$.

By the Lemma 2.5 again, there is a sequence $\widetilde{x_0}.\widetilde{x_1}\ldots\widetilde{x_q}$ such that

$$0.x_1\ldots x_n = \widetilde{x_0}.\widetilde{x_1}\ldots\widetilde{x_q},$$

where $0.\widetilde{x_1}\ldots\widetilde{x_q} \in \{0,1,\ldots,k+1\}^*$. Hence, it follows

$$\xi+\eta = (\xi_0+\eta_0+\widetilde{x_0}).(\widetilde{x_1}+y_1)\ldots(\widetilde{x_q}+y_q) \quad (q>n),$$

where $0.(\widetilde{x_1}+y_1)\ldots(\widetilde{x_q}+y_q) \in \{0,1,\ldots,k+2\}^*$. □

Lemma 2.8. *The set* $\{0,1,\ldots,2k+1\}^*$ *is closed under dividing by* $k+1$.

Proof. For each $\varepsilon \in \{0,1,\ldots,2k+1\}$, we define

$$i(\varepsilon) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \varepsilon \in S; \\ k+1, & \text{if } \varepsilon \in B. \end{cases} \quad \text{and } t(\varepsilon) = \begin{cases} (k+1)\varepsilon, & \text{if } \varepsilon \in S; \\ (k+1)(\varepsilon-k-1), & \text{if } \varepsilon \in B. \end{cases}$$

Let $x = 0.\varepsilon_1\ldots\varepsilon_n \in \{0,1,\ldots,2k+1\}^*$. Define $0.\eta_1\ldots\eta_{n+2}$ as

$$\eta_j = i(\varepsilon_j) + t(\varepsilon_{j-1}) + t(\varepsilon_{j-2}) \quad (j = 1,2,\ldots,n+2),$$

with $\varepsilon_{-1} = \varepsilon_0 = 0$. Clearly, the sequence $0.\eta_1\ldots\eta_{n+2}$ has the following two properties :

- $\eta_j \in \{0, k+1, 2(k+1), \ldots, (2k+1)(k+1)\}$,
- $0.\eta_1\ldots\eta_{n+2} = 0.\varepsilon_1\ldots\varepsilon_n$.

Thus, we obtain

$$\frac{x}{k+1} = 0.\frac{\eta_1}{k+1}\cdots\frac{\eta_{n+2}}{k+1} \in \{0,1,\ldots,2k+1\}^*.$$

□

Corollary 2.9. *For any* $n \in \mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$, $\frac{1}{(k+1)^n}$ *has at least a finite expansion.*

Proof. This is a direct result of Lemma 2.3 and 2.8. □

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

We are now in a position to proof Theorem 1.2. Recalling that

$$\mathcal{S} = \{\frac{p\beta + q}{(k+1)^n} \in (0, \beta - k) : n, p, q \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let $x \in (0, \beta - k)$. Then x has a finite expansion if and only if $x \in \mathcal{S}$.*

Proof. Let $x \in (0, \beta - k)$ be a number with a finite expansion $0.\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_{2n}$, i.e.,

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \varepsilon_i \beta^{-i},$$

where $\varepsilon_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2k+1\}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n$. By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\varepsilon_{2i-1}(F_{2i-1}\beta - F_{2i})}{(k+1)^{2i-1}} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\varepsilon_{2i}(F_{2i+1} - F_{2i}\beta)}{(k+1)^{2i}} \\ &= \frac{1}{(k+1)^{2n}} [(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{2i-1} F_{2i-1}(k+1)^{2n-(2i-1)} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{2i} F_{2i}(k+1)^{2n-2i})\beta \\ &+ (\sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{2i} F_{2i+1}(k+1)^{2n-2i} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{2i-1} F_{2i}(k+1)^{2n-(2i-1)})] \end{aligned}$$

Putting

$$p = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{2i-1} F_{2i-1}(k+1)^{2n-(2i-1)} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{2i} F_{2i}(k+1)^{2n-2i}$$

and

$$q = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{2i} F_{2i+1}(k+1)^{2n-2i} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{2i-1} F_{2i}(k+1)^{2n-(2i-1)},$$

we show that, $x \in \mathcal{S}$.

On the other hand, if $x \in \mathcal{S}$, then there exist $p, q, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$x = \frac{p\beta + q}{(k+1)^n}$$

with $x \in (0, \beta - 1)$. Applying Lemma 2.1 with p, we have

$$p = \sum_{i=1}^{2p_0} n_i F_i, \tag{3.1}$$

where $n_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, k+1\}$ for any $i \in \{1, \dots, 2p_0\}$, or $n_i \in \{0, -1, \dots, -(k+1)\}$ for any $i \in \{1, \dots, 2p_0\}$. From Lemma 2.2 and (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \frac{1}{(k+1)^n} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{p_0} n_{2i-1}(F_{2i} + (\frac{k+1}{\beta})^{2i-1}) + \sum_{i=1}^{p_0} n_{2i}(F_{2i+1} - (\frac{k+1}{\beta})^{2i}) + q \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{p_0} \frac{n_{2i-1}}{\beta^{2i-1}(k+1)^{n-(2i-1)}} - \sum_{i=1}^{p_0} \frac{n_{2i}}{\beta^{2i}(k+1)^{n-2i}} + \frac{M}{(k+1)^n}, \end{aligned}$$

where $M=\sum_{i=1}^{2p_0} n_iF_{i+1}+q$. Hence, from Lemma 2.7 and Corollary 2.9, we obtain that any $x\in\mathcal{S}$ has a finite expansion. $\square$

Remark 3.2. *By Lemma 2.3, the number 1 has countably many expansions, and thus for any $x\in S$, we can deduce at least countably many expansions for x from its finite expansion.*

Lemma 3.3. *If $x\in(0,\beta-k)$ has countably many expansions then x at least has a finite expansion.*

Proof. Let

$$0.\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2\varepsilon_3\ldots \tag{3.2}$$

be an infinite expansion of x. We consider the 2-blocks appearing in the expansion.

Case 1. *There are infinite many blocks in B^2 or S^2 appearing in (3.2).*

1. There are infinite many blocks of form xb_1b_2 with $x\in\{0,1,\ldots,2k\}$, $b_1,b_2\in B$, or ya_1a_2 with $y\in\{1,2,\ldots,2k+1\}$, $a_1,a_2\in S$.

   Notice that
   $$0.xb_1b_2=0.(x+1)(b_1-k-1)(b_2-k-1),$$
   and
   $$0.ya_1a_2=0.(y-1)(a_1+k+1)(a_2+k+1).$$
   The number x has uncountably many expansions.
2. The expansion ends with $(2k+1)^\infty$ or 0^∞.

   Since $1=0.k(2k+1)^\infty$, the number x has a finite expansion.

Case 2. *The blocks in $B^2\cup S^2$ appears for finite times.*

In this case, the expansion is of the type

$$x=0.\varepsilon_1\ldots\varepsilon_{n_1}a_1^{(1)}b_1^{(1)}a_2^{(1)}b_2^{(1)}a_3^{(1)}b_3^{(1)}\ldots, \tag{3.3}$$

with $a_i^{(1)}\in S$ and $b_i^{(1)}\in B$ for $i\ge 1$.

Put $l=\min\{i\ge 1: b_i\ge k+2\}$ with the convention that $\min\emptyset=\infty$. Thus $l=\infty$ when and only when $b_i=k+1$ for all $i\ge 1$.

When $l=\infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x &= 0.\varepsilon_1\ldots\varepsilon_{n_1}a_1^{(1)}(k+1)a_2^{(1)}(k+1)a_3^{(1)}(k+1)\ldots\\ &= 0.\varepsilon_1\ldots\varepsilon_{n_1}a_1^{(1)}k(a_2^{(1)}+k+1)(2k+1)(a_3^{(1)}+k+1)(2k+1)\ldots \end{aligned}$$

There are infinitely many blocks in B^2, whence just as in Case 1, either x has a finite expansion, or x has uncountably many expansions.

When $l<\infty$, we have, by $0.(k+2)=1.00(k+1)$, that

$$\mathcal{E}_1 = 0.\varepsilon_1\ldots\varepsilon_{n_1}a_1^{(1)}b_1^{(1)}\ldots a_{l-1}^{(1)}b_{l-1}^{(1)}(a_l^{(1)}+1)0(a_{l+1}^{(1)}+1)(b_{l+1}^{(1)}-1)\ldots.$$

We rewrite this expansion as

$$\mathcal{E}_2=0.\varepsilon_1\ldots\varepsilon_{n_2}a_1^{(2)}0a_2^{(2)}b_2^{(2)}a_3^{(2)}b_3^{(2)}\ldots, \tag{3.4}$$

with

$$a_i^{(2)}\in S+1=\{x+1:x\in S\}=\{1,2,\ldots,k+1\}$$

and

$$b_i^{(2)}\in B-1=\{y-1:y\in B\}=\{k,k+1,\ldots,2k\}$$

.

Meanwhile, using the same argument as the Case1, we may assume that the blocks in $B^2 \cup S^2$ appears for only finite times, and thus in the expansion (3.4), the subword $a_i^{(2)} b_i^{(2)}$ for i large enough

- can not in $\{1k, 2k, \ldots, kk, (k+1)(k+1), (k+1)(k+2), \ldots, (k+1)(2k+1)\}$,
- may not be equal to $(k+1)k$, expect the case when $a_i^{(2)} b_i^{(2)} = (k+1)k$ eventually. Whence by $1.00 = 0.((k+1)k)^\infty$, the expansion can be transformed into a finite one.

In the light of these, we may suppose that the expansion of x is of the form:

$$x = 0.\varepsilon_1 \ldots \varepsilon_{n_3} a_1^{(3)} b_1^{(3)} a_2^{(3)} b_2^{(3)} a_3^{(3)} b_3^{(3)} \ldots, \tag{3.5}$$

with

$$a_i^{(3)} \in (S+1)^- = \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$$

and

$$b_i^{(3)} \in (B-1)_- = \{k+1, \ldots, 2k\}$$

for $i \geq 1$.

Up to now, we only need to consider the expansion (3.5). Compared with expansion (3.3), all $a_i b_i$ may take the values in $(S+1)^- \times (B-1)_-$ rather than SB. We continue this process to reach an expansion with all $a_i b_i \in (S+2)^- \times (B-2)_-$, and so on. Finally, we obtain an expansion ending by $((k+1)k)^\infty$, and it can be transformed into a finite one as before.

□

Lemma 3.4. *Any number in $\mathcal{S}$ has countably many expansions.*

Proof. By Remark 3.4, we only need show that any number in $\mathcal{S}$ can not have uncountably many expansions.

To this end, we suppose that $x \in \mathcal{S}$ has have uncountably many expansions. Considering for $\beta^{-n} x$ instead, we may assume $x < 1$. Thus

$$y = 1 - x \in \mathcal{S}.$$

By Lemmas 3.1 and 2.5, the number y has a finite expansion

$$y = 0.\varepsilon_1 \ldots \varepsilon_n \in \{0, 1, \ldots, k+1\}^*.$$

Since x has uncountable many expansions, there exist an uncountable index set Λ and a block $\omega = \omega_1 \omega_2 \ldots \omega_n \in \{0, 1, \ldots, 2k+1\}^*$ such that for any $\lambda \in \Lambda$,

$$\omega_\lambda = 0.\omega_1 \omega_2 \ldots \omega_n \omega_{n+1}^\lambda \omega_{n+2}^\lambda \cdots$$

is an expansion of x, and thus

$$1 = x + y = 0.z_1 z_2 \ldots z_n \omega_{n+1}^\lambda \omega_{n+2}^\lambda \ldots,$$

where $z_j = \omega_j + \varepsilon_j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, 3k+2\}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$.

Applying the map T to $0.z_1 z_2 \ldots z_n$, we obtain that

$$T(0.z_1 z_2 \ldots z_n) = \widetilde{z_0}.\widetilde{z_1}\widetilde{z_2} \ldots \widetilde{z_n}$$

and if $\widetilde{z_i} > k+1$, then we have

$$\begin{cases} \widetilde{z_{i+1}} \leq k, & \text{for } i = 1, 2, \ldots, n; \\ \widetilde{z_{i-1}} \leq k, & \text{for } i = 2, 3, \ldots, n. \end{cases} \tag{$*$}$$

Since $0.z_1z_2\dots z_n < x+y=1$ and T preserves the value, $\widetilde{z_0}=0$. So we may suppose that the expansion $0.z_1z_2\dots z_n$ satisfies the above property $(*)$.

We claim that the number $f_{n-1} = 1 - 0.z_1z_2\dots z_{n-1}$ has uncountably many expansions.

Since $\mathrm{Ind}^+(\cdot)$ takes values amongst a countable set, there exists an uncountable subset Λ_1 of Λ such that $\mathrm{Ind}^+(\omega^\lambda_{n+1}\omega^\lambda_{n+2}\dots) = s$ for some $s \in \{1,2,\dots\}\cup\{\infty\}$.

If $z_n \le 2k+1$, then

$$0.0\dots 0z_n\omega^\lambda_{n+1}\omega^\lambda_{n+2}\dots \in \{0,1,\dots,2k+1\}^\infty$$

for $\lambda \in \Lambda_1$. Hence, f_{n-1} has uncountably many expansions.

If $z_n > 2k+1$, then for $\lambda \in \Lambda_1$,

$$T^+(0.0\dots 0z_n\omega^\lambda_{n+1}\omega^\lambda_{n+2}\dots) = 0.0\dots 01\xi_n\xi_{n+1}\dots,$$

with $\xi_i \in \{0,1,\dots,2k+1\}$. Since the restriction of the map T^+ to sequences with $\mathrm{Ind}^+(\cdot) = s$ is an injection, these provide uncountably many expansions of f_n.

The claim then follows. Now we show each $f_l = 1 - 0.z_1z_2\dots z_l$ for $l \in \{n-1,\dots,1,0\}$ has uncountable many expansions. For this, we consider the following property (P_q):

there exists an uncountable set Λ_q such that

- if $z_{q+1} \le 2k+1$, then f_q has the expansions of the form
$$0.0\dots 00\omega^\lambda_{q+1}\omega^\lambda_{q+2}\dots \quad (\lambda\in\Lambda_q);$$
- $z_{q+1} > 2k+1$, then f_q has the expansions of the form
$$0.0\dots 01\omega^\lambda_{q+1}\omega^\lambda_{q+2}\dots \quad (\lambda\in\Lambda_q).$$

Suppose (P_q) holds, then

$$\begin{aligned} f_{q-1} &= 1 - 0.z_1z_2\dots z_{q-1} \\ &= 0.0\dots 0(z_q+a)\omega^\lambda_{q+1}\omega^\lambda_{q+2}\dots \end{aligned}$$

where $a=0$ if $z_{q+1}\le 2k+1$, and $a=1$ if $z_{q+1} > 2k+1$.

If $z_{q+1} \le 2k+1$, we reach the property (P_{q-1}) using the same argument as above; if $z_{q+1} > 2k+1$, then due to Property $(*)$, we have $z_q \le k$. Whence $0.0\dots 0(z_q+a)\omega^\lambda_{q+1}\omega^\lambda_{q+2}\dots$ is the desired expansion, and we obtain (P_{q-1}) also.

Therefore, by induction, we know that any $f_l = 1 - 0.z_1z_2\dots z_l$ has uncountable many expansions. This is a contradiction since $f_0 = 1$ has only countably many expansions. □

Theorem 1.2 then follows from the above lemmas.

Following the similar idea with the proof of Theorem 1.2 and with even less effort, we prove Theorem 1.1.

Acknowledgements This work was supported by NSFC Nos. 11171123 and 11222111.

References

[1] J.-P. Allouche, M. Cosnard: The Komornik-Loreti constant is transcendental. Amer. Math. Monthly **107**, 448-449 (2000)

[2] F. Blanchard: β-expansions and symbolic dynamics. Theoret. Comput. Sci. **65**, no. 2, 131-141 (1989)

[3] S. Baker: Generalised golden ratios over integer alphabets. Integers **14**, no. A15, 28 pp (2014)

[4] M. Baatz, V. Komornik: Unique expansions in integer bases with extended alphabets.Publ. Math. **79**, no. 3-4, 251-267 (2011)

[5] Z. Daroczy, I. Katai: Univoque sequences. Publ. Math. Debrecen **42**, no. 3-4, 397-407 (1993)

[6] K.Dajani, S. D. Ramawadh: Symbolic dynamics of $(-\beta)$-expansions. J. Integer Seq. **15**, no. 2 (2012)

[7] K. Dajani, M. de Vries: Invariant densities for random β-expansions. J. Eur. Math. Soc. **9**, no. 1, 157-176 (2007)

[8] P. Erdős, M. Horváth, I. Joó: On the uniqueness of the expansion $1 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} q^{-n_i}$, Acta Math. Hungar. **58**, 333-342, (1991)

[9] P. Erdős, I. Joó, V. Komornik: Characterization of the unique expansions $1 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} q^{-n_i}$ and related problems. Bull. Soc. Math. Fr. **118**, 377-390 (1990)

[10] S. Ito, Y. Takahashi: Markov subshifts and realization of β-expansions. J. Math. Soc. Japan **26**, 33-55 (1974)

[11] V. Komornik: Expansions in noninteger bases. Integers. **11B**, no. A9, 30 (2011)

[12] V. Komornik, P. Loreti: Unique developments in non-integer bases. Amer. Math. Monthly **105**, no. 7, 636-639 (1998)

[13] V. Komornik, P. Loreti: On the topological structure of univoque sets. J. Number Theory **122**, no. 7, 157-183 (2007)

[14] V. Komornik, P. Loreti: Universal expansions in negative and complex bases. Integers. **10**, no. A50, 669-679 (2010)

[15] D. R. Kong, W. X. Li: Hausdorff dimension of unique beta expansions. Nonlinearity. **28**, no. 1, 187-209 (2015)

[16] F. Lü, B. Tan, J. Wu: Univoque sets for real numbers. Fund. Math. **227**, no. 1, 69-83. 11K55 (2014)

[17] F. Nakano, T. Sadahiro: A $(-\beta)$-expansion associated to Sturmian sequences. Integers **12**, no. 4, 571-599 (2012)

[18] W. Parry: On the β-expansion of real number. Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. **11**, 401-416 (1960)

[19] A. Renyi: Representions for real numbers and their ergodic properties. Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. **8**, 477-493 (1957)

[20] J. Schmeling: Symbolic dynamics for β-shifts and self-normal numbers. Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **17**, no. 3, 675-694 (1997)

[21] N. Sidorov: Almost every number has a continuum of $\beta-$expansions. Am. Math. Mon. **110**, no. 9, 838-842 (2003)

[22] N. Sidorov: Universal beta-expansions. Period. Math. Hungar. **47**, 221-231 (2003)

[23] N. Sidorov and A. Vershik: Erdogic properties of the Erdős measure, the entropy of the golden shift, and related problems. Monatsh. Math. **126**, no. 3, 717-751 (2012)

[24] M. de Vries, V. Komornik: Unique expansions of real numbers. Adv. Math. **221**, no. 2, 390-427 (2009)

[25] M. de Vries: On the number of unique expansions in non-integer bases. Topology Appl. **156**, no. 3, 652-657 (2009)

Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, 430074, P.R. China
E-mail address: `geyuehua1001@126.com, tanbo@mail.hust.edu.cn`